

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CLASS ACTION NOTICE

If you purchased paper-based or film-based self-adhesive labelstock directly from Avery Dennison Corporation, Bemis Company, Inc., Morgan Adhesives Company (“MACTac”), Raflatac, Inc., or UPM-Kymmene Corporation between January 1, 1996 and July 25, 2003, and you did not previously exclude yourself from the class, you may be a member of a class in a class action lawsuit and could get money from a class action settlement.

*A Federal Court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.
This is not a notice that you have been sued.*

- A class action lawsuit alleges that Avery Dennison Corporation (“Avery Dennison”), Bemis Company, Inc. (“Bemis”), Morgan Adhesives Company (“MACTac”), Raflatac, Inc. (“Raflatac”) and UPM-Kymmene Corporation (“UPM”) (collectively, the “Defendants”) violated the federal antitrust laws by fixing, raising, maintaining, or stabilizing prices for self-adhesive labelstock in the United States and by allocating and restricting output in the United States self-adhesive labelstock market. This lawsuit is pending in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. On June 24, 2008, the Court dismissed Bemis from the lawsuit.
- On May 2, 2008, a Notice was sent to Class Members describing the case, informing Class Members of their right to exclude themselves from the Class and this case by June 11, 2008, the deadline the Court set, and describing a partial settlement of the case with Defendants UPM and Raflatac. The Court has approved the settlement with UPM and Raflatac.
- There are now additional proposed settlements described in more detail below between the Class and Avery Dennison (for \$36.5 million plus up to \$500,000 in notice and administration expenses), and between the Class and MACTac (for \$1.25 million) (the “Settlements”). The Settlements bring the total amount of all settlements in this case to \$46.5 million.
- The Settlements, if approved by the Court, would resolve all Class claims over whether Avery Dennison or MACTac conspired to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices for self-adhesive labelstock in the United States and to allocate and restrict output in the market. It avoids risks from continuing the lawsuit against Avery Dennison and MACTac. It pays money to purchasers like you; it releases Avery Dennison and MACTac from liability.
- Class Counsel will also ask the Court to approve a Plan of Distribution so that Class members who submit appropriate Claim Forms on time can receive payments from the Settlements and the previous settlement with UPM and Raflatac.
- Class Counsel did not seek fees or reimbursement of their expenses at the time the Court approved the earlier settlement, so they now intend to apply for an award of fees not to exceed one-third of the total amount of all settlements in this case, and accrued interest, and an award of expenses not to exceed \$2 million. Class Counsel will also ask the Court to approve incentive awards to the five Class Representatives in the amount of \$30,000 each.
- If you are a Class member (as the term is defined above and in Sections 4 and 5 below), your legal rights are affected by this case and by the Settlement, regardless of whether you act or do not act. **Read this notice carefully.**

Your Legal Rights and Options	
You can:	That Means:
Object to the Settlements	If you do not like the Settlements, or any part of them, including Class Counsel’s request for fees, expenses, and incentive awards or the Plan of Distribution, you can write to the Court to explain why. If you object, you can still file a claim form as described below.
Go to a hearing	You can write to the Court and ask to speak at the hearing on September 17, 2009 when the Court will consider these matters. If you want to speak at the hearing, you can still file a claim form as described below.
File a Claim Form	A claim form is being sent with this Notice. To receive a payment from the Settlements and the earlier settlement, you <u>must</u> complete and submit the claim form by <u>November 2, 2009</u> , following all the instructions on the form.
Do Nothing	If you do nothing, you will still be bound by the terms of the Settlements and by any judgment in the case, but you will receive no payments.

- These rights and options — **and the deadlines to exercise them** — are explained in this Notice.
- The Court in charge of this case, which has certified the Class and has given preliminary approval of the Settlements, still has to decide whether to give final approval of the Settlements (subject to any appeals).

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Why did I get this Notice package?

You may have purchased paper-based or film-based self-adhesive labelstock directly from one or more of the Defendants in this lawsuit, Avery Dennison, MACtac, or Raflatac, between January 1, 1996 and July 25, 2003.

The Court ordered this Notice to be sent to you because you have a right to know about proposed Settlements with two of the Defendants in the class action lawsuit before the Court decides whether to approve the Settlements.

This Notice explains this lawsuit, the Settlements, your legal rights, what benefits are available, who is eligible for them, and how to get them.

The Court in charge of the case is the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, and the case is known as *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 1556, No. 3:03-MDL-1556. The people who sued are called the “Plaintiffs” and the companies who were sued, who are called the “Defendants,” are Avery Dennison, Bemis, MACtac, Raflatac, and UPM.

2. What is this lawsuit about?

Class Plaintiffs allege that Defendants unlawfully conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize prices for self-adhesive labelstock sold in the United States, and to allocate and restrict output in the market for self-adhesive labelstock sold in the United States, in violation of Sections 4 and 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 15 and 26, and Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. Class Plaintiffs claim that, as a result of this unlawful conduct, they and other members of the Class paid more for paper-based and film-based self-adhesive labelstock than they would have paid absent such conduct. Each Defendant vigorously denies Class Plaintiffs’ claims. The Court previously dismissed Bemis from the lawsuit so that the Class does not have any more claims against Bemis.

3. Why are there Settlements?

Class Counsel have conducted extensive discovery in this lawsuit, which has now been in litigation for over six years. Based upon their extensive investigation, their consultation with experts retained by them, and their evaluation of the claims of the Class members against Avery Dennison and MACtac and defenses that might be asserted to those claims, Class Counsel believe that the Settlements are fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the Class. The Settlements provide immediate and certain recovery. By settling, Plaintiffs, Avery Dennison, and MACtac avoid the cost, uncertainty, and delay of continued litigation. From Avery Dennison’s and MACtac’s perspectives, although they contend that they did nothing wrong, settling now means that they do not have to keep spending money, time, and effort on the lawsuit. Avery Dennison and MACtac each engaged in extensive negotiations with Plaintiffs that led to the Settlements described in this Notice. An experienced mediator facilitated the settlement discussions between Avery Dennison and Plaintiffs.

Class Counsel believe the Settlements are fair because they were not certain that the Class would win on any of the claims and even if they did win, they might not recover any more than the amounts that Avery Dennison and MACtac have agreed to pay to settle the lawsuit.

WHO IS INCLUDED IN THE CLASS

4. How do I know if I am a Class member?

Everyone who fits the following description is a Class member:

All persons (excluding any person who previously filed a timely, valid request for exclusion from the Class by June 11, 2008, governmental entities, Defendants, co-conspirators, other producers of self-adhesive labelstock, and the present and former parents, predecessors, subsidiaries, and affiliates of the foregoing) who purchased paper-based self-adhesive labelstock or film-based self-adhesive labelstock in the United States directly from any of the Defendants, or any present or former parent, subsidiary, or affiliate thereof, at any time during the period from January 1, 1996 to July 25, 2003. The terms “paper-based self-adhesive labelstock” and “film-based self-adhesive labelstock” do not include Avery Dennison’s FasClear and PRIMAX film products. Nor do those terms include foil and “piggyback” self-adhesive labelstock.

In other words, you are a Class member if **all** of the following apply to you:

- You purchased paper-based or film-based self-adhesive labelstock (material used to make labels, generally produced in large rolls or a multi-layer laminate consisting of a face material, an adhesive, a release liner and the base material) — other than Avery Dennison’s FasClear and PRIMAX film products, and other than foil or “piggyback” self-adhesive labelstock — in the United States at any time from January 1, 1996 to July 25, 2003.
- You purchased the self-adhesive labelstock directly from Avery Dennison, MACtac, or Raflatac.
- You did not file a request to exclude yourself from the Class by June 11, 2008.

5. Are there any exceptions to being included as a Class member?

Yes. As mentioned in the description above, you are **not** a Class member if **any** of the following applies to you:

- You excluded yourself from the Class by June 11, 2008.
- You are a governmental entity.
- You are an employee, officer, or director of Avery Dennison, Bemis, MACtac, UPM, or Raflatac, or another producer of self-adhesive labelstock, or its present and former parents, predecessors, subsidiaries, or affiliates.

THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

6. What do the Settlements provide?

Avery Dennison has agreed to pay \$36.5 million to settle the lawsuit against it. Avery Dennison has also agreed to pay up to \$500,000 towards the cost of providing Notice to the Class and administering the settlement, so that those costs do not reduce the amount available to the Class members. MACtac has agreed to pay \$1.25 million to settle the lawsuit against it. When added to the amount that UPM previously agreed to pay to settle the lawsuit against it, the total amount of all the settlements in this case is \$46.5 million, including the payment toward notice and administration costs.

The proposed Settlements represent a compromise of disputed claims and does not mean that Avery Dennison, MACtac, or any other Defendant in this matter, has been found liable for any claims asserted by Class Plaintiffs. Avery Dennison and MACtac specifically deny any liability on their part, and settled this case to avoid the expense and uncertainty of complex litigation. The Settlement Agreements are subject to approval by the Court following the Settlement Hearing.

The Settlements, if finally approved by the Court, provides for the separate cash payments from Avery Dennison and MACtac in the amounts set forth above and the dismissal with prejudice of all claims against Avery Dennison and MACtac in this lawsuit (the "Class Action Claims"). The amount paid in settlement of the Class Action Claims against Avery Dennison and MACtac as well as the settlement amount previously paid by UPM and Raflatac, after payment of any Court-ordered attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and incentive awards as approved by the Court will be distributed among the members of the Class who submit timely and valid Claim Forms. *See* "How much will my payment be?" below for more information.

7. How much will my payment be?

To receive a payment, you must submit a timely, valid, and complete **Claim Form**. This Claim Form relates to the Settlements and the previous settlement with UPM and Raflatac. How much you will get from these settlements depends on a number of factors, including, but not limited to: 1) the number of Class members who submit valid Claim Forms, 2) the amounts of the purchases of paper-based and film-based labelstock you made directly from Defendants, 3) the total amount of purchases of paper-based and film-based labelstock made directly from Defendants by all Class Members who submit valid Claim Forms, and 4) the amounts the Court awards for attorneys' fees, expenses, and incentive awards.

Class Counsel will ask the Court to approve a Plan of Distribution which will pay Class members who submit timely, valid, and complete Claim Forms as follows: The Net Settlement Fund, which is the amount remaining from the total of all funds paid by all Defendants that have settled, after reduction for any Court awarded fees, expenses and other costs, and incentive awards will be divided pro rata among Class members who submit timely, valid, complete Claim Forms received by the Claims Administrator ("Claiming Class members"). Payments to Claiming Class members will be in proportion to each Claiming Class member's total dollar amount of purchases of paper-based and film-based labelstock from all Defendants compared to the total dollar amount of all such purchases of all Claiming Class members. As the instructions on the Claim Form explain in more detail, as a convenience to Class members, Class members have the option of relying on records the Claims Administrator has based on electronic transaction data produced in this case reflecting Class members' Self-Adhesive Labelstock purchases from Defendants to the extent such records are available for a Class member or of submitting their own documentation to support their claims. In deciding whether to rely on the amounts listed on the Claim Form or to submit your own documentation, you should consider that the electronic transaction data used to prepare the Claim Forms did not cover the entire Class Period for all Defendants. In particular, the electronic data available do not cover MACtac purchases before July 1998 or Avery Dennison purchases before December 1996.

8. How can I get a payment?

A Claim Form is attached to this Notice. Class members who submit claims will be paid pro rata from the Net Settlement Fund (that is, the settlements, less amounts for fees, expenses and other costs, and incentive awards), based on a Plan of Distribution described above. You should read all instructions on the Claim Form and fully complete the Claim Form. To be eligible for a payment you must send your signed, completed Claim Form by **NO LATER THAN November 2, 2009** to:

In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation
c/o RSM McGladrey, Inc.
Claims Administrator
P.O. BOX 1367
Blue Bell, PA 19422

You should keep a copy of anything you submit. You can submit your Claim Form by mail. If you want proof that your claim has been received, you should submit it in a way that provides you with a receipt of delivery. Only Claim Forms that are actually received by the Claims Administrator will be processed. **DO NOT SEND YOUR CLAIM FORMS TO CLASS COUNSEL, AVERY DENNISON, MACTAC, AVERY DENNISON'S COUNSEL, MACTAC'S COUNSEL, OR THE COURT.**

9. When would I get my payment?

The Court will hold a hearing to decide whether to approve the Settlements. If the Settlements are approved, it is possible there may be an appeal by someone. Therefore, you might not receive a payment for at least a year or more.

10. What am I giving up in the Settlements?

As part of the Settlements, you cannot sue Avery Dennison, Bemis or MACtac for any of the claims brought by the Class in this matter, including claims under federal or state antitrust, unfair competition, unfair practices, price discrimination, unitary pricing, trade practice, or civil conspiracy laws. You will not give up, however, any claims you have against the Settling Defendants based on product defect, breach of contract, breach of warranty, or other claims that do not relate to Plaintiffs' claims here. The full release is contained in the Settlement Agreements, and you should review them if you have any questions.

If the Court approves the Settlement Agreements after the Settlement Hearing, each member of the Class that did not timely and validly exclude him, her, or itself from the Class shall, on his/her/its own behalf and, if a corporate entity, on behalf of its respective present and former parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, servants, representatives (and the parents', subsidiaries', and affiliates' past and present officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, servants, and representatives), and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing (collectively, "the Releasors"), completely release, acquit and forever discharge each Settling Defendant, and all of their respective past and present parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, servants, representatives (and the parents', subsidiaries', and affiliates' past and present officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, servants, representatives), and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of each of the foregoing (collectively, "the Releasees") of any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action (whether class, individual or otherwise in nature), damages whenever incurred, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever, including costs, expenses, penalties, and attorneys' fees, that Releasors, or any one of them, whether directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall, or may have against Releasees, whether known or unknown, relating in any way to the facts, occurrences, transactions, other matters alleged in the Class Action, or that could have been asserted in the Class Action under federal or state antitrust, unfair competition, unfair practices, price discrimination, unitary pricing, trade practice or civil conspiracy laws.

In addition, each Releasor hereby expressly agrees that, upon final approval of the proposed Settlement, the Releasor waives and releases with respect to the Released Claims, to the fullest extent permitted by law, any and all rights and benefits conferred by (a) § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor

and (b) any law or principle of law of any jurisdiction that would limit or restrict the effect or scope of the provisions of the release set forth above. Each Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those that Releasor knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the released claims, but each Releasor hereby expressly agrees that, upon final approval, it shall have waived and fully, finally and forever settled and released any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, contingent or non-contingent claim with respect to the Released Claims, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such other or different facts.

The release and dismissal of the above claims will have no effect upon any claims you may have against persons other than the Releasees, as defined above, or claims unrelated to those in this Action.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

11. Do I have a lawyer in this case?

The law firms that brought this lawsuit have been representing you and the other Class members. These lawyers are called Class Counsel. The Court has designated the following Lead and Liaison Counsel for the Class:

Ira Neil Richards, Esquire
Gary M. Goldstein, Esquire
Trujillo Rodriguez & Richards, LLC
1717 Arch Street, Suite 3838
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Michael D. Hausfeld, Esquire
Brian Ratner, Esquire
Hausfeld LLP
1700 K Street NW, Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

W. Joseph Bruckner, Esquire
Anna M. Horning Nygren, Esquire
Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue, South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Richard L. Creighton, Jr., Esquire
Keating Muething & Klekamp, P.L.L.
1400 Provident Tower
One East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202

CLASS PLAINTIFFS' CO-LEAD COUNSEL

Todd O'Malley, Esquire
O'Malley & Langan, PC
Mulberry Professional Plaza
426 Mulberry Street, Suite 104
Scranton, PA 18503

LIAISON COUNSEL

You can send any questions to them at *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation*, c/o RSM McGladrey, Inc., Claims Administrator, P.O. BOX 1367, Blue Bell, PA 19422. You also have the right to get your own lawyer, at your own expense.

12. How will the lawyers be paid?

Class Counsel have worked on this case so far for over six years without receiving any payments at all for their work or their out-of-pocket expenses. They have thus far devoted over 38,000 hours of time to this case and spent nearly \$2 million in expenses. They have done so with the understanding that, if they obtained a recovery, their expenses would be reimbursed and they would receive fees from the fund recovered.

Class Counsel will seek an award of attorneys' fees, to be paid from the Settlement Fund and from the previous settlement with UPM and Raflatac, of up to one-third of the total amount of the settlements reached in this litigation (and any accrued interest). In addition, Class Counsel intend to seek reimbursement for up to \$2 million in litigation expenses. Class Counsel will also ask the Court to award the Class Representatives, who are the named Plaintiffs in this case, an incentive award of \$30,000 each in recognition of the time and effort they have devoted to this case for over six years to obtain the benefits for all the Class.

Avery Dennison, MACtac, UPM and Raflatac have agreed not to oppose this request. The Court may award less than the amounts requested.

OBJECTING TO THE SETTLEMENTS

If you object to the Settlements, you can tell the Court.

13. How do I tell the Court that I do not like the Settlements?

If you are a Class member and did not previously opt out, you can object to either or both of the Settlements if you don't like any part of either or both of them. You can also object to the request for attorneys' fees, expenses, and incentive awards, and the proposed Plan of Distribution. Your objection must include: (a) your full name, address, and phone number; (b) evidence that you are a Class member; (c) a written statement of all grounds for your objection accompanied by any legal support for the objection; (d) copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the objection is based; (e) a list of all persons who will be called to testify in support of the objection; (f) a statement of whether you intend to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (g) a list of other cases in which you or your counsel have appeared either as settlement objectors or as counsel for objectors in the preceding five years; and (h) your signature, even if you are represented by counsel. If you intend to appear at the Settlement Hearing through counsel, your objection must also state the identity of all attorneys who will appear at the Settlement Hearing. If you do not make your objection

in the manner provided you shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed settlement, to the Plan of Distribution, or to the application for an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of expense, and incentive awards, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. The Court will consider your views. To object, you must send a letter including the information above, saying that you object to the settlement in *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 1556, No. 3:03-MDL-1556. You must also include evidence that you purchased paper-based or film-based labelstock directly from Defendants between January 1, 1996 and July 25, 2003. The filing of a Claim Form by a Class member does not preclude a Class member from objecting to the Settlement. However, if the Settlement is approved, you will be bound by the Settlement and the Judgment just as if you had not objected.

In order for your objection to be considered by the Court, it must be filed with the Court and served on Class Counsel, counsel for Avery Dennison, and counsel for MACtac at the address set forth below by no later than **August 10, 2009**. Send your objection, **to these four different addresses so that it is received by no later than August 10, 2009:**

Court	Class Counsel	Counsel for Avery Dennison
Clerk of the Court United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania U.S. Courthouse 235 N. Washington Ave. P.O. Box 1148 Scranton, PA 18501	Ira Neil Richards, Esquire Trujillo Rodriguez & Richards, LLC 1717 Arch Street Suite 3838 Philadelphia, PA 19103	Margaret Zwisler, Esquire Latham & Watkins LLP 555 Eleventh Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004-1304
Counsel for MACtac		
Patrick J. Ahern, Esquire Baker & McKenzie One Prudential Plaza 130 E. Randolph Drive 35th Floor Chicago, IL 60601		

THE COURT'S FAIRNESS HEARING

The Court will hold a hearing to decide whether to approve the Settlements, and to consider Class Counsel's request to approve the Plan of Distribution and to award attorney's fees, expenses, and incentive awards. You do not need to attend that hearing, but are welcome to attend if you so desire.

14. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlements?

The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing at 10:00 a.m. on September 17, 2009 at the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, Courtroom 2, William J. Nealon Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 235 N. Washington Avenue, Scranton, PA 18501. At this hearing the Court will consider whether the Settlements are fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court will also consider Class Counsel's fees and expense request, the request for incentive awards, and the proposed Plan of Distribution. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. The Court will listen to people who have made a written request to speak at the hearing. After the hearing, the Court will decide whether to approve the Settlements, the requests for fees, expenses and incentive awards, and the Plan of Distribution. We do not know how long these decisions will take.

15. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Class Counsel will answer any questions the Court may have. But you are welcome to come at your own expense. If you send an objection, you don't have to come to Court to discuss it. As long as you mailed your written objection on time, the Court will consider it. You may also pay your own lawyer to attend, but it is not necessary.

16. May I speak at the hearing?

You may ask the Court for permission to speak at the Fairness Hearing. To do so, you must send a letter by U.S. mail saying that it is your "Notice of Intention to Appear in *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 1556, No. 3:03-MDL-1556." Be sure to include your name, address, telephone number, and your signature. Your Notice of Intention to Appear must be sent to the Clerk of the Court, Class Counsel, Avery Dennison's Counsel, and MACtac's Counsel at the four addresses shown

above in Section 13. In order for you to object to either of the Settlements, you must follow the instructions in Section 13. You cannot speak at the hearing if you excluded yourself from the Class.

IF YOU DO NOTHING

17. What happens if I do nothing at all?

If you fit the definition of a Class member (*see* Sections 4 and 5), and did not previously exclude yourself from the Class by June 11, 2008, you will be bound by any judgment or orders in this case. If you do not submit a valid, timely, and complete Claim Form that the Claims Administrator receives, you will not be entitled to receive a payment from the settlements in this case.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

18. Are there more details about the lawsuit and the Settlements?

Yes. This Notice summarizes the most important aspects of the lawsuit and the proposed Settlements. You can get a copy of the Settlement Agreements by writing to In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation, c/o RSM McGladrey, Inc., Claims Administrator, P.O. BOX 1367, Blue Bell, PA 19422 or visit the website at www.claimsinformation.com.

You also can call the Claims Administrator at 1-800-222-2760.

You also may read the Complaint and other pleadings in the lawsuit, including the Settlement Agreement, during regular office hours at the Office of the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, U.S. Courthouse, 235 N. Washington Avenue, Scranton, PA (refer to case No. 03-MDL-1556).

You may also obtain access to the Complaint and other pleadings in the lawsuit, including the Settlement Agreement, through the federal judiciary's Public Access to Court Electronic Records ("PACER") system, accessible through <http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov>. You must register with PACER at this site to access the documents electronically.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT OR THE CLERK ABOUT THE LAWSUIT OR THE SETTLEMENT, AVERY DENNISON OR AVERY DENNISON'S COUNSEL, MACTAC OR MACTAC'S COUNSEL ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT.

Date: July 1, 2009

THE HONORABLE THOMAS I. VANASKIE
Judge, United States District Court for
the Middle District of Pennsylvania